Differences in Expulsion on Post-placenta Intrauterine Contraceptive Device between Mother with Vaginal and Cesarean Delivery
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Abstract

Intrauterine device (IUD) is a long term, highly effective, and reversible contraception method. In Indonesia, the number of IUD acceptors is still lower than other methods. An effort to improve the long term contraception is using post-placental IUD that can be a choice for postpartum mother who has limited access to another contraception service. The purpose of this study was to compare the incidence of expulsion in post-placental IUD insertion between mother with vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery. This study design was a comparative cross-sectional method with a consecutive sampling technique conducted at Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital Bandung and Dr. Kariadi General Hospital Semarang from November 2017 to February 2018. Subjects were postpartum mothers who received post-placental IUD insertion at vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery. Samples were 96 women, consisting of 48 women with IUD insertion in vaginal delivery and 48 women with IUD insertion in cesarean delivery. Data obtained from interviews and transvaginal ultrasonography examination. The result showed there was a difference in expulsions incidence between IUD’s insertion among vaginal delivery compared to cesarean delivery (p=0.041). It concluded that expulsion’s to post-placental IUD insertion is higher in vaginal delivery compared to cesarean delivery.
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Introduction

The use of contraceptives in Indonesia is still dominated by modern contraceptive methods that are short-term and contain a hormone that generally has a low levels continuation rate compared to long-term contraceptive methods.\textsuperscript{1,2} The use of short-term contraceptives such as injections and pills is 40%, while the long-term contraceptive (vasectomy, tubectomy, intrauterine device, and implant) is 23.5%. The lower acceptance of long term contraception by women in Indonesia caused by some factors. These are the factor image of contraception, lack of information factor about benefits, effectiveness, and side effect of long term contraception.\textsuperscript{1}

The indicators of successful family planning are contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) and unmet need. The percentage of unmet needs in Indonesia increased by 8.5%. An increase in unmet need indicates a decrease in CPR, which means that contraceptive services and access to fertile-age couples to contraceptives are not enough.\textsuperscript{1}

The main target of the family planning program is in the unmet need group and the postpartum mother, both in normal and cesarean delivery. One of the efforts to increase the coverage of family planning participation and to improve the quality of postpartum mother’s health is to seek family planning after delivery. Postpartum family planning is the use of contraceptive methods immediately after delivery to 6 weeks (42 days).\textsuperscript{2,3}

Population and family planning programs offer a range of relatively safe and effective contraceptive methods of postpartum delivery, one of which is the post-placebo intrauterine device (IUD). Following the Indonesian Health Technology Assessment issued by the Ministry of Health on family planning during the breastfeeding period, one of the efforts in increasing the use of long-term contraceptives aimed at postpartum mothers by using post-placebo IUD in regulating gestational distances without affecting the production of breast milk (\textit{air susu ibu}/ASI).\textsuperscript{1,4}

The results of the Indonesian Health Technology Assessment Indonesia study showed several levels of expulsion at the time of insertion. For insertion on premature post-placenta (10 minutes after placenta born) are 9.5–12.5%, immediately insertion after delivery (more than 10 minutes to 48 hours postpartum) are 25–37%, and insertion during the postpartum interval (>4 weeks postpartum) are 3–13%. Expulsion generally occurs during the first three months post-insertion and at most in the first 4–6 weeks. Expulsion is the exclusion of an IUD from within the uterus that can occur partly or entirely.\textsuperscript{1,4}

Post-placental IUD insertion after vaginal delivery has an expulsion rate of up to 24%. However, observational studies show that the rate of expulsion in post-placental insertion after the cesarean section is much lower. In theory, it is because placement on the fundus is more likely to minimize the failure and likelihood that the cervix is less fully dilate.\textsuperscript{5,6}

The purpose of this study was to compare the incidence of expulsion in post-placental IUD insertion between mother with vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery.

Methods

The design of this study was a comparative cross-sectional. This study conducted at Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital Bandung and Dr. Kariadi General Hospital Semarang from November 2017 to February 2018. Samples selected by consecutive sampling technique, which meets the selection criteria. The inclusion criteria in this study were mothers who gave vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery. They received post-placebo IUD insertion with the duration of use at least 4–6 weeks post-insertion, using a CuT 380A IUD. The subject of the study was the mother who gets insertion post-placenta IUD, both vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery. The subjects used have met the inclusion and exclusion criteria with the sample number of 96 respondents.

The data used in this study are primary and secondary. Primary data were obtained from respondents through interview technique and transvaginal ultrasound examination to determine the location and position of IUD related to expulsion occurrence. In contrast, secondary data obtained from maternity register in the hospital.

The selection of study subjects was conducted by looking at the existing maternity registers in each study site that met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. After obtaining the data through the maternity registers, the researcher contacted each respondent by phone and mail to confirm whether the respondent was willing to include in the study. Respondents selected and willing to undergo the transvaginal ultrasound to observe
the location and position of the IUD recruited for the study. The transvaginal ultrasound examination aims to determine the occurrence of expulsion on post-placebo IUD insertion in the vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery mother. Transvaginal ultrasound examination performed by obstetric and gynecologist specialist on duty at the study site.

The processing of the data collected analyzed using SPSS version 17 applications. The statistical test used in this study is the chi-square test ($\chi^2$) with a 95% confidence level and 0.05 significance level. As an alternative test, Fisher’s exact test used if the chi-square test is not appropriate.

This study approved by the Health Research Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine of Universitas Padjadjaran Bandung with the letter number: 1228/UN6.C.10/PN/2017.

Results

Table 1 shows the frequency distribution of respondent characteristics on the insertion of a post-placental intrauterine contraceptive device between mothers with vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery. Age characteristics had p value=0.174 and parity p value=0.343.

Table 2 shows that there are differences in the occurrence of expulsion on the insertion of a post-placenta intrauterine contraceptive device between mothers with vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery with p value=0.041. All respondents who experienced the occurrence of expulsion in the vaginal delivery group of 4 (8%) respondents.

Table 3 shows the relationship between age and parity with expulsion occurrence. The result was the p value=0.638 on variable age and p value=0.934 on variable parity.

Discussion

The respondent characteristic shows that the majority of respondents aged 20–35 years, 40 respondents in the vaginal delivery group, and 39 respondents in the cesarean delivery group with p value=0.174. Based on parity in two groups, it was almost equal between the vaginal delivery group and cesarean delivery with p value=0.343.

Based on these results, it can be concluded that

| Table 1 | The Frequency Distribution of Respondent Characteristic on Two Group |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Respondent Characteristic**   | **Groups**      | **p Value**     |
| **Vaginal Delivery** (n=48)      | **Cesarean Delivery** (n=48) |
| Age (years)                     |                 |                 |
| <20                             | 1 (2%)          | 5 (11%)         | 0.174           |
| 20–35                           | 40 (83%)        | 39 (81%)        |                 |
| >35                             | 7 (15%)         | 4 (8%)          |                 |
| Mean (SD)                       | 29.03 (6.5)     | 29.03 (6.5)     |                 |
| Range                           | 16–47           | 16–47           |                 |
| Parity                          |                 |                 | 0.343           |
| Primiparous                     | 13 (27%)        | 15 (31%)        |                 |
| Multiparous                     | 33 (69%)        | 33 (69%)        |                 |
| Grand multiparous               | 2 (4%)          | 0 (0%)          |                 |

Note: ‘Chi-square test

| Table 2 | The Relationship between the Post-placental IUD on Cesarian Delivery and Vaginal Delivery with Expulsion Occurrence |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Post-placental IUD Insertion** | **Expulsion Occurrence** | **p Value** |
|                 | **Yes** (n=4)  | **No** (n=92)   |
| Vaginal delivery | 4 (8%)         | 44 (92%)        | 0.041          |
| Cesarean section | 0 (0%)         | 48 (100%)       |                 |

Note: ‘Fisher exact test
age and parity are homogeneous and feasible to compare.\textsuperscript{7,8}

From the statistics results by using Fisher exact test, obtained \( p \) value=0.041, it means that there are differences in the expulsion occurrence of post-placenta IUD insertion between mother with vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery. A percentage of vaginal deliveries with an expulsion occurrence is higher, found in 4 (8\%) respondents than the cesarean delivery, in which all respondents had no expulsion. The results mean that the post-placenta IUD insertion in vaginal delivery has a higher occurrence of expulsion than in cesarean delivery with a difference in age and parity.\textsuperscript{7–8} Expulsion occurrence is higher on vaginal delivery than cesarean delivery because there is maximal dilatation cervix than cesarean.\textsuperscript{10,11}

Post-placental IUD expulsion in vaginal delivery occurs due to the cervical dilatation in the lower segment of the uterus as well as the development of a thin lower uterine segment.\textsuperscript{12} It is estimated to be the cause of partial expulsion.\textsuperscript{13–15} While the cesarean delivery that is not planned before and conducted while entering the active period has a high risk as well.\textsuperscript{16} In this study, all cesarean delivery is upon indication and planned so that the risk of expulsion minimized because it does not pass through an active phase of delivery that the cervix is not fully dilated. Post-placental IUD insertion after vaginal delivery has been shown to have an expulsion rate of up to 24\%. However, observational studies show that the rate of expulsion in post-placental insertion after cesarean is much lower. Theoretically, because placement on the fundus is more likely to minimize the failure and likelihood, the cervix is less fully dilate.\textsuperscript{15}

The results of this study found that all respondents in a vaginal delivery experienced partial expulsion. Expulsion is the exclusion of an IUD from within the uterus that can occur partly or entirely. Partial expulsion is a condition in which the IUD stem located below the internal os and cervical canal. In contrast, total expulsion is a condition in which the IUD stems out from inside the uterus through the cervix e.g., in the vagina.\textsuperscript{15,17,18}

In this study, transvaginal ultrasound to evaluate the expulsion confirmed by obstetric and gynecologist specialists. Observed on two respondents with the location of the IUD stem is under internal os, and on two other respondents, the IUD stem located in the cervical canal. The expulsion rate of the IUD via vaginal insertion was not only the one helped by midwives but also found in mothers helped by medical doctors too. The results of interviews showed that some respondents complained of pain during sex, experiencing spots (spotting), and feel the discomfort because the IUD strings are too long, which interfering with sexual activity.

The risk factors that may lead to an IUD expulsion include age, parity, insertion time (cervix dilatation), previous expulsion history, type and size of the IUD, insertion techniques, and the attending medical personnel.\textsuperscript{18–21}

Another factor is age and parity. In this study, the majority of respondents who experienced the incidence of expulsion mostly aged 20–35 years with parity of 2–4 (multipara). There was no significant association with age and parity of the incidence of expulsion. The result of this study supported by the study of Sucak et al.\textsuperscript{7} that mentioned there is no significant correlation between the age of respondents with the incidence of IUD expulsion, both from normal labor and cesarean ones. Although there is no statistically

### Table 3 The Relationship between Age and Parity with Expulsion Occurrence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age and Parity</th>
<th>Expulsion Occurrence</th>
<th>( p ) Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (( n=4 ))</td>
<td>No (( n=92 ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primiparous</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiparous</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand multiparous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Chi-square test
significant relationship, it showed the percentage of incidence of expulsion higher at <35 years old more (5%) than age >35 years (none).22–24

In this study, there was no relationship between parity and expulsion occurrence. This study wasn’t differenced with the study from Garishah25 that there was a significant relationship between parity and the incidence of expulsion in post placental IUD insertion, with a greater percentage of multiparous (66.3%), primipara (33.7%), and grand multipara (25.9%). Parity is a risk factor for expulsion, the occurrence of expulsion increases in multiparous with vaginal delivery due to cervical dilatation in the lowest uterine segment. Unplanned section cesarea deliveries also have a high risk but the effect of cervical dilatation and expulsion is still not enough evidence.7

Conclusion

There was a difference in expulsion occurrence on post-placental intrauterine device contraceptive insertion between mother with vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery. The expulsion occurrence of vaginal delivery is higher than cesarean delivery.
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